Abstract
The National Cycling Participation Survey (NCPS) is a standardised survey that has been repeated biennially since March/April 2011, with minor changes to the survey structure between 2011 and 2013. The NCPS provides data on cycling participation at a national level and allows for estimates of participation for each state and territory, and the capital cities and non-capital areas within each state and territory.

The survey suggests that 16.7% (95% CI: 15.1% - 18.2%) of NSW residents ride a bicycle in a typical week. More than one third (35.8%, 95% CI: 34.1% - 37.6%) had done so in the past year. There appears to have been growth in cycling participation in NSW as measured over the past week since 2011; this growth at the state level masks fluctuations in participation in regional NSW and Sydney.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The National Cycling Participation Survey (NCPS) is a standardised survey that has been repeated biennially since March/April 2011. The NCPS provides data on cycling participation at a national level and allows for estimates of participation for each state and territory, and the capital cities and non-capital areas within each state and territory.

The primary survey objective is to obtain accurate data on cycling participation to monitor performance towards the National Cycling Strategy 2011-16 target of doubling cycling participation. The objective is to measure participation rather than travel. Participation is defined as the number of individuals who have cycled for any journey or purpose and in any location over a specified time period. By comparison, travel is the number of cycling trips that occurred over that time period, and may include the distance travelled, purpose and so on. Participation is much easier to define, and for individuals to recall, than travel. It is reasonable to expect an individual would remember whether they had ridden a bicycle over the past week, month or year, but far less likely they would be able to accurately recall the number of trips they have made over that period. Further details on the method and results used in NCPS are reported in detail elsewhere 1.

The survey is a telephone-based survey of residents of the study area, and includes coverage of mobile-only households. As cycling participation is greatest among children, it is critical that the survey have coverage of this group. Data on cycling participation of those aged under 15 is obtained by asking an adult in the household to report on behalf of other household members, including children. The survey fieldwork is undertaken by Market Solutions Pty Ltd and the data analysis and reporting is provided by CDM Research.

1.2 Perception indicators

An extension to the survey provides a series of attitudinal indicators which provide information on:

- feelings of comfort while riding in the municipality,
- change in cycling conditions over the past 12 months,
- barriers to riding for different purposes (commuting, education, shopping, recreation and to access public transport), and
- priorities for council to consider in improving cycling conditions.

As these questions require some insight into current cycling conditions only individuals who had ridden at least once in the past 12 months in the local government area were subject to these questions. Those who had not ridden at all in the past 12 months, or had only done so outside the municipality, were excluded from these questions. The barriers to cycling by non-cyclists have been widely studied and so are well understood. The survey does not look to investigate these barriers.

In addition to the perception questions the other main change to the cycling participation survey was to select the main respondent randomly from all household members aged 15 or above (using the next birthday method). This method avoids biases that are introduced by speaking only to the household member who answers the phone (who is not a randomly selected household member). This bias was unimportant with the cycling participation survey, as:

a) participation information was sought on all household members (via proxy for all others than
the main respondent), and
b) only objective information (i.e. participation and demographics) were sought.

However, subjective information (i.e. the cycling perception component of the survey) cannot be
gathered by proxy, and so it was necessary to ensure that the main respondent was drawn from all
household members without bias.

1.3 Weighting

The person-level data are weighted at the gender and age level (2 – 9, 10 – 24, 25 – 49, 50+) to the
ABS census 2011 population. The household-level data are weighted to ABS census 2011
household size (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6+ usual residents). The number of persons cycling is estimated by
expanding the 2011 weights to estimated resident population for 30 June 2014 provided by the ABS.

1.4 Statistical significance

The estimates presented in this report are based on a sample of residents from New South Wales.
These estimates are subject to sampling variability as only a proportion of residents (approximately
1.0% of the resident population) were interviewed. The approach adopted in this report to represent
this variability is to identify estimates where the relative standard error (RSE) exceeds 25% (denoted
by a *) and exceeds 50% (denoted by **). Larger RSEs imply lower accuracy. As such, estimates
denoted with a * should be treated with caution and those denoted with ** should be considered
unreliable.

In some instances, for example for participation rates, the 95% confidence interval is reported. This
represents the range within which we would expect the true population estimate to reside 95% of the
time. Significant differences between parameters are present where the point estimate falls outside
the confidence interval of a comparison parameter.

1.5 Survey sample

The sample consisted of 4,393 households containing 11,376 individuals. From the sample of main
respondents from regional NSW (i.e. the individual aged 15 or above with the next birthday that was
selected for the interview) 44 had cycled at least once in the past year and so were presented with the
perceptions component of the survey.

Data for the Greater Sydney metropolitan area within this report comes from the Sydney Cycling
Survey conducted in November 2014.
2 Results

The survey suggests that 16.7% (95% CI: 15.1% - 18.2%) of NSW residents ride a bicycle in a typical week. More than one third (35.8%, 95% CI: 34.1% - 37.6%) had done so in the past year (Figure 2.1). There appears to have been growth in cycling participation in NSW as measured over the past week since 2011; this growth at the state level masks fluctuations in participation in regional NSW and Sydney.

These participation rates translate to approximately 1,253,800 residents riding in a typical week and 2,695,000 residents riding at least once in a typical year.

- Figure 2.1: Cycling participation of residents (error bars represent 95% confidence intervals)
The cycling participation rate by residents of Sydney are significantly below the Australian average (Figure 2.2). By contrast, cycling participation in regional NSW is above the national average.

Males are significantly more likely to have ridden in the past week than females in Sydney (Figure 2.3). By contrast, in regional NSW the difference between the male and female participation rates are much smaller than Sydney and the national average.

Sample: All persons.

- Figure 2.2: Cycling participation comparison by area

- Figure 2.3: Cycling participation by gender
The highest cycling participation rate (measured as those who had ridden in the past week) was among children aged under 10 (Figure 2.4). The cycling participation rate among Sydney residents is consistently lower than for regional NSW across all age groups.

**Figure 2.4: Cycling participation by age**
There are indications that the cycling participation rate, when measured over the previous week, has increased in NSW among young children since 2011 (Figure 2.5). There may also have been an increase among teenage females. However, between 2013 and 2015 there appears to have been a decrease in cycling participation among 18 to 29 year old males and possibly among teenage males.

![Figure 2.5: Cycling participation by age and gender](image)

Sample: All persons, cycling participation in past week.

- **Figure 2.5: Cycling participation by age and gender**
Those who indicated that they had ridden at least once over the past year were asked whether they had been cycling for a long period consistently, had recently started riding again or were altogether new to riding. This sample corresponds only to those aged 15 and over, which will contribute to the low proportion of those new to cycling. Around 23% of riders had returned to riding after a break of a year or more (Figure 2.6).

![Figure 2.6: Cycling history](image)

Among those who had ridden in the past year and were aged 15 or over who had indicated they had been riding continuously for more than a year, more (35%) indicated they were riding less often than more often (18%) (Figure 2.7).

![Figure 2.7: Cycling frequency](image)
Of the residents of regional NSW who cycled in the last month, 85% cycled for recreation and 30% used a bicycle for transport (Figure 2.8). These proportions are consistent with the national average.

Figure 2.8: Cycling for recreation in comparison to cycling for transport

Among those who were residents of regional NSW, had ridden at least once in the past year, and had travelled at least once for each of the transport purposes (commuting, education, public transport, shopping and visiting friends or relatives) most had ridden to visit friends or relatives, for education or shopping (Figure 2.9). Very few had ridden to access public transport.

Figure 2.9: Purpose of cycling for transport

Just over half of Sydney households do not have access to a working bicycle compared with around 43% of regional NSW households and 46% nationwide (Figure 2.10).
Sample: All households.

- **Figure 2.10**: Bicycle ownership by household
3 Rider perceptions

Those who had ridden at least once in the past year and were aged 15 or over were asked about their perceptions of riding in their local area. More of those who had ridden indicated they felt comfortable (67%) than uncomfortable (29%) doing so (Figure 3.1).

![Figure 3.1: Can you tell me how comfortable you feel riding in your area?]

The majority of riders felt that conditions for riding in their local area had not changed over the previous year (69%); 23% felt conditions had improved compared with 8% who felt conditions had deteriorated (Figure 3.2).

![Figure 3.2: In the past year, do you think that cycling conditions in your area have become much better, better, about the same, worse or much worse?]

Respondents who had ridden in the past year were asked whether they had travelled to work, education (school or university), shopping, public transport or participated in recreational exercise or fitness in the past year. For those that had undertaken these activities, they were asked whether they had ridden a bicycle for any of these purposes. All of those who had ridden in the past year had done so at least once for recreation or exercise, and over half (57%) had done so for shopping (Figure 3.3).

- **Figure 3.3: In the past year have you used a bicycle for any of these purposes?**
Respondents who had travelled for the activities listed above, and who indicated they had not used a bicycle to do so, were asked why this was the case. For those who had not ridden to work (Figure 3.4) the most commonly cited reasons were:

- too far (69%), and
- prefer other method (22%).

Note the small sample size for this question.

![Figure 3.4: Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to work in the past year?](image)

Sample: Persons aged 15+ who had ridden in the past year, had travelled to work but not by bicycle.

* Estimate should be treated with caution.
** Estimate should be considered unreliable.

- Figure 3.4: Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to work in the past year?
For those who had not ridden to school or education (Figure 3.5) the most commonly cited reasons were:

- too far (83%), and
- prefer other method (28%).

Figure 3.5: Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to school or university in the past year?
For those that had not ridden for shopping (Figure 3.6), the most commonly cited reasons were:

- too far (50%),
- they had too many items to carry (30%), and
- would prefer another method 26%.

Figure 3.6: Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to shops in the past year?

Respondents were asked to prioritise actions that the road authority could take to encourage bicycle riding. The most supported actions, as shown in Figure 3.7, were:

- better connections between bike paths and schools (70% of respondents rated this a very high or high priority),
- more off-road paths and cycleways (66%),
- more on-road bicycle lanes (60%),
- more signs highlighting bicycle routes (57%),
- more events or campaigns that promote bicycle riding (50%), and
- better connections between bike paths and shops (47%).
Figure 3.7: How important are the following actions council could take to encourage bike riding?
Appendix A: Data Tables

The following table summarises the survey results. Estimates are provided for each parameter, as well as the 95% confidence interval and a confidence rating. This confidence rating provides an indication of the sampling variability relative to the size of the estimate using relative standard errors. The lower the relative standard error the lower the sampling variability is relative to the size of the estimate. A relative standard error of less than 25% is indicated by three stars, between 25% and 50% by two stars and above 50% by one star. A score of three stars indicates a high level of confidence such that the estimate can be treated with a high degree of confidence. A confidence rating of two stars indicates a moderate level of confidence, such that the estimate should be treated with caution. One star represents a situation where there is very low confidence in the estimate, and it is unlikely to be reliable.
Table A.1: Participation statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of households:</td>
<td>4393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of individuals:</td>
<td>11,376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycling participation</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>Confidence rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% who rode last week</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>15.1-18.2%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% who rode last month</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>21.8-25%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% who rode in past year</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>34.1-37.6%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. who rode last week</td>
<td>1,253,800</td>
<td>1,137,600-1,370,000</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. who rode last month</td>
<td>1,760,200</td>
<td>1,640,200-1,880,100</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. who rode in past year</td>
<td>2,695,000</td>
<td>2,564,100-2,826,000</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation by demography</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of males who rode last week</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of females who rode last week</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of 0-9 yr olds who rode last week</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of 10-17 yr olds who rode last week</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of 18-29 yr olds who rode last week</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of 30 to 49 yr olds who rode last week</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of 50 yr+ olds who rode last week</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender by Age</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: 0-9 yr</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 10-17 yr</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 18-29 yr</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 30-49 yr</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 50 yr+</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 0-9 yr</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 10-17 yr</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 18-29 yr</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 30-49 yr</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 50 yr+</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Table A.1 (cont.): Participation statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation by purpose</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>Confidence rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week for recreation/exercise</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
<td>83.5-97.5%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week for transport</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>19.4-41.6%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Detail</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week for commuting</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0-4.5%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week for education</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0.7-13.2%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week for shopping</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>2-11.7%</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week to train/tram/bus</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0-0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of those who rode in past week to visit friends/relatives</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>10.8-30.6%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cycling travel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caution: cycling travel estimates are biased by self-reporting and recall limitations, and should be treated with a high level of caution.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of days ridden by those that had ridden in past week</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.1-4.1</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time ridden (mins) in past week by those that had ridden</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>111-206</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of households without a working bicycle</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>47.1-52.3%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of households with one working bicycle</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>12.6-17.2%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of households with two working bicycles</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>10.2-14.2%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of households with three working bicycles</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>20.7-25.7%</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Survey Script

INTRODUCTION

My name is (...) calling on behalf of [insert relevant state roads authority or Council] from Market Solutions, a social and market research company. Today we are conducting a quick survey about the travel habits of people across Australia. The survey will be used to track travel patterns over time. Would you be able to spend a few minutes describing a little about the way you get around?

RESPONDENTS MUST BE AGED 15 YEARS OR OVER. DO NOT MENTION CYCLING IN INTRO.

USE BIRTHDAY SCREENER TO SELECT MAIN RESPONDENT

Your responses will be held strictly confidential. My supervisor may listen to parts of this interview to assist in quality control monitoring.

CONTINUE 1
Schedule Callback 2
Soft refusal 3
Hard refusal 4
Non qualifying 5
Not a residential number 6
Terminated early 7
Communication difficulty 8
Language other than English 9
No contact on final attempt 10
Over quota 11
Duplicate 12
Away for duration of study 13
Non working number 14
No answer 15
Answering machine – msg left 16
Answer mach. – other attempts 17
Engaged 18
Incorrect details 19

CONFIRM LOCATION (LGA, REGION)
Q.1. We are interested in speaking to people who live in [READ IN POSTCODE]. Can you confirm this is your postcode?
Yes 1
No (SPECIFY POSTCODE) 2

Q.2. Ask only Council samples – otherwise go to next question
And can you confirm that your council area is (READ IN COUNCIL AREA)?
INSERT COUNCIL AREA .......................................

CHECK QUOTAS AND CONTINUE OR TERMINATE AS REQUIRED

SECTION 1: MAIN RESPONDENT’S TRAVEL
Q.3. In the last 7 days, have you used any of the following? (READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)
Car as a driver 1
Car as a passenger 2
Motorcycle 3
Train 4
Bus 5
Tram 6
Bicycle, even just riding in your backyard 7
None of the above 8

INTERVIEWER NOTE: DEFINITIONS OF BICYCLES INCLUSIONS:
• ADULT AND CHILDREN’S BICYCLES WITH TWO OR MORE WHEELS
• CHILDREN’S BICYCLES WITH TRAINING WHEELS

EXCLUSIONS:
• ANY REGISTERED VEHICLES (E.G. MOPEDS)
• CHILDREN RIDING TOYS SUCH AS TRICYCLES AND SCOOTERS
• CHILDREN WHO ARE IN A SEAT OR TRAILER ON A BICYCLE
• RIDING ON A STATIONARY EXERCISE BICYCLE
Q.4. Ask if did not ride in the last 7 days – otherwise go to next question
When did you last ride a bicycle? (READ OUT) (ONE ONLY)
In the last 2 weeks  1
In the last 3 weeks  2
In the last 4 weeks  3
More than a month ago  4
More than a year ago  5
Never  6

Q.5. Ask if last rode in the last 7 days – otherwise go to Q.7
In the last 7 days, on how many days did you ride a bicycle?
INSERT NO. DAYS ...............................................

Q.6. What is your best estimate of the total time you have spent riding over the past 7 days?
INTERVIEWER NOTE: Record number of HOURS. e.g. 90 minutes should be recorded as 1.5 hours.
INSERT NO. OF HOURS ..........................................

Q.7. Ask if rode in past 4 weeks – otherwise go to next question
For what purposes did you ride over the last 7 days/2 weeks/3 weeks/4 weeks? (READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)
To or from work  1
To or from school, university or study  2
To or from shopping  3
For recreation or exercise  4
To get a train, bus or tram  5
To visit friends or relatives  6
Some other reason (Specify)  7

Q.8. Ask if rode in past year – otherwise go to Q.10
Which of the following statements best describes you? Would you say you… (READ OUT)
Are new to cycling (started cycling in the last 12 months)  1
Have started to cycle again after a break of 12 months or more  2
Have been cycling for more than 12 months  3

Q.9. Ask if rode in past year and have been cycling for more than 12 months – otherwise go to next question
And would you say that you… (READ OUT)
Cycle more frequently than a year ago  1  
Cycle as frequently as a year ago  2  
Cycle less frequently than a year ago  3  

Q.10.  Now we would like you to think about comfort when bike riding within the [AREA], that is, how at ease you feel when riding in the area. Can you tell me how comfortable you feel riding in the [AREA], are you…?  (READ OUT)

Very comfortable    1  
Comfortable     2  
Neither comfortable nor uncomfortable  3  
Uncomfortable     4  
Very uncomfortable     5  
(Have not ridden in the area in the past year)  6  

Q.11.  In the past year, do you think that cycling conditions in the [AREA] have become much better, better, about the same, worse or much worse?  (READ OUT)

Much better   1  
Better    2  
About the same   3  
Worse    4  
Much worse   5  
(Unsure/Don’t know)    6  

Q.12.  Do you have any comments regarding conditions for bike riding in the [AREA]?  (RECORD VERBATIM)
Q.13. In general, in the past year have you done any of the following activities?

(READ OUT) INTERVIEWER NOTE: NOT JUST ACTIVITIES DONE ON A BICYCLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel to work</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to school or university</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to the shops</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational exercise or fitness</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelled on a tram, bus or train</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(None of the above)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q.14. In the past year, have you used a bicycle for any of the following…?

(READ OUT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IF Q13=1: Travel to work</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF Q13=2: Travel to school or university</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF Q13=3: Travel to the shops</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF Q13=4: For recreational exercise or fitness</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF Q13=5: To travel to a tram, bus or train</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q.15. IF Q13=1 & Q14!=1 - Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to work in the past year?

(DO NOT READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too far</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer other methods of transport</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too many items to carry on a bike</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene reasons</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowhere to park the bike</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too dangerous</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q.16. IF Q13=2 & Q14!=2 - Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to school or university in the past year?
(DO NOT READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too far</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer other methods of transport</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too many items to carry on a bike</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene reasons</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowhere to park the bike</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too dangerous</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q.17. IF Q13=3 & Q14!=3 - Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to the shops in the past year?
(DO NOT READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Too far</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer other methods of transport</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too many items to carry on a bike</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene reasons</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowhere to park the bike</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too dangerous</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q.18. IF Q13=4 & Q14!=4 - Why have you not used a bicycle for recreational exercise or fitness in the past year?
(DO NOT READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prefer other forms of exercise</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too dangerous</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (specify)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No particular reason</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q.19. IF Q13=5 & Q14!=5 - Why have you not used a bicycle for travel to the shops in the past year?

(ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

Too far 1
Prefer other methods of transport 2
Too many items to carry on a bike 3
Hygiene reasons 4
Nowhere to park the bike 5
Too dangerous 6
Too close (no need) 7
Other (specify) 8
No particular reason 9

Q.20. There are a number of actions the [AUTHORITY] could take to encourage bike riding in the [AREA]. For each of the following, can you tell me whether these are very high priority, high priority, moderate priority, low priority or not a priority?

SCALE: 1= VERY HIGH, 2=HIGH, 3 =MODERATE, 4=LOW, 5=NOT A PRIORITY, 6=UNSURE

More off-road paths and cycleways __
More on-road bicycle lanes __
Better connections between bike paths and schools __
Better connections between bike paths and shops __
Better connections between bike paths and parks and swimming pools __
Better connections between bike paths and public transport __
More bicycle parking __
Lower local road speed limits __
More bike skills training __
More signs highlighting bicycle routes __
More events or campaigns that promote bike riding __

Q.21. Do you have any suggestions for actions you would like to see [AUTHORITY] take regarding bike riding in the [AREA]? (RECORD VERBATIM)

SECTION 2: MAIN RESPONDENT’S DEMOGRAPHICS

We are interested in understanding a little about those who ride bikes and those who do not. This will help us understand how interest in cycling changes over time.
Q.24. Just a couple of questions now to help us analyse responses.

GENDER: (RECORD AUTOMATICALLY)

Male  1
Female  2

Q.25. AGE: What is your age? (INSERT 99 FOR DON’T KNOW – NONE SHOULD BE UNDER 15 YEARS OF AGE)

Under 2 years  1
2 to 4 years  2
5 to 9 years  3
10 to 14 years  4
15 to 17 years  5
18 to 24 years  6
25 to 29 years  7
30 to 39 years  8
40 to 49 years  9
50 to 59 years  10
60 to 69 years  11
70 to 79 years  12
80 years or over  13
(Refused)  14

Q.26. OCCUPATION: Which of the following categories apply to you at the moment? (READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

Student – Full time  1
Student – Part time  2
Work – Full time (>35hrs/week)  3
Work – Part time (<35hrs/week)  4
Work – Casual  5
Work – Unpaid voluntary work  6
Unemployed and looking for work  7
Home duties  8
Pensioner – not retirement age  9
Retired – on pension  10
Retired – not on pension  11
Other (Specify)  12
(Refused)  13
Q.27. How many people usually live in your household? INCLUDE ALL AGES – A RESIDENT IS SOMEONE WHO HAS, OR WILL, LIVE AT THE HOUSEHOLD FOR A PERIOD OF AT LEAST 3 MONTHS

RECORD NUMBER..............................................

Ask next section if household has more than 1 member – otherwise go to close

SECTION 3: OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS TRAVEL

INTRO > 2 PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:
We would now like to understand a little about the way the other people in your household use bikes and get a little detail about them. Starting with the oldest person in the household other than yourself and working down, could you tell me…?

INTRO = 2 PEOPLE IN HOUSEHOLD:
We would now like to understand a little about the way other people in your household use a bike and get a little detail about them, could you tell me…?

ASK Q.28 – Q.35 FOR EACH OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER THEN GO TO CLOSE

Q.28. GENDER: What is their gender?

Male 1
Female 2

Q.29. AGE: What is their age? (INSERT 99 FOR DON'T KNOW)

Under 2 years 1
2 to 4 years 2
5 to 9 years 3
10 to 14 years 4
15 to 17 years 5
18 to 24 years 6
25 to 29 years 7
30 to 39 years 8
40 to 49 years 9
50 to 59 years 10
60 to 69 years 11
70 to 79 years 12
80 years or over 13
Q.30. Ask for each person aged five years or over – otherwise go to next section OCCUPATION: Which of the following categories apply to THIS PERSON at the moment? (READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

- Student – Full time 1
- Student – Part time 2
- Work – Full time (>35hrs/week) 3
- Work – Part time (<35hrs/week) 4
- Work – Casual 5
- Work – Unpaid voluntary work 6
- Unemployed and looking for work 7
- Home duties 8
- Pensioner – not retirement age 9
- Retired – on pension 10
- Retired – not on pension 11
- Other (Specify) 12
- (Refused) 13
- Child – not school age 14

Q.31. In the last 7 days, has this person used any of the following methods of transport? (READ OUT) (ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

- Car as a driver 1
- Car as a passenger 2
- Motorcycle 3
- Train 4
- Bus 5
- Tram 6
- Bicycle, even just riding in your backyard 7
- None of the above 8
- (Don’t know) 7

INTERVIEWER NOTE: DEFINITIONS OF BICYCLES

INCLUSIONS:

- ADULT AND CHILDREN’S BICYCLES WITH TWO OR MORE WHEELS
- CHILDRENS BICYCLES WITH TRAINING WHEELS
EXCLUSIONS:

- ANY REGISTERED VEHICLES (E.G. MOPEDS)
- CHILDREN RIDING TOYS SUCH AS TRICYCLES AND SCOOTERS
- CHILDREN WHO ARE IN A SEAT OR TRAILER ON A BICYCLE
- RIDING ON A STATIONARY EXERCISE BICYCLE

Q.32. Ask if did not ride in the last 7 days – otherwise go to next question

When did THIS PERSON last ride a bicycle? (READ OUT) (ONE ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the last 2 weeks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last 3 weeks</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last 4 weeks</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than a month ago</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than a year ago</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q.33. Ask if last rode in the last 7 days – otherwise go to Q21

In the last 7 days, on how many days did they ride a bicycle? (RECORD 99 FOR DON’T KNOW)

INSERT NO. DAYS ................................................

Q.34. What is your best estimate of the total time they have spent riding over the past 7 days?
(RECORD 99 FOR DON’T KNOW)

INTERVIEWER NOTE: Record number of HOURS. E.g. 60 minutes should be recorded as 1 hour.

Minutes Hours  Minutes Hours

INSERT NO. OF HOURS ............................................
Q.35. Ask if rode in past 4 weeks, otherwise go to next question
For what purposes did they ride over the last 7 days/2 weeks/3 weeks/4 weeks? (READ OUT)
(ACCEPT MULTIPLES)

To or from work 1
To or from school, university or study 2
To or from shopping 3
For recreation or exercise 4
To get a train, bus or tram 5
To visit friends or relatives 6
Some other reason (Specify) 7
Don’t know 8

Q.36. How many bicycles in working order are in your household? INTERVIEWER NOTE:
DEFINITIONS OF BICYCLES

INCLUSIONS:
- ADULT AND CHILDREN’S BICYCLES WITH TWO OR MORE WHEELS
- CHILDRENS BICYCLES WITH TRAINING WHEELS

EXCLUSIONS:
- ANY REGISTERED VEHICLES (E.G. MOPEDS)
- CHILDREN RIDING TOYS SUCH AS TRICYCLES AND SCOOTERS
- CHILDREN WHO ARE IN A SEAT OR TRAILER ON A BICYCLE
- RIDING ON A STATIONARY EXERCISE BICYCLE

RECORD NUMBER.............................................

CLOSE

Q37. As part of quality control procedures, someone from our project team may wish to re-contact
you to verify a couple of responses you provided today. For this reason, may I please have your first
name?

RECORD FIRST NAME

Q38. As this is market research, it is carried out in compliance with the Privacy Act and the
information you provided will be used only for research purposes. Your answers will be combined
with those of other participants, no individual responses will be identified.
We do re-contact people from time to time for related research projects. Would it be okay if we contacted you again in the future to invite you to participate in any similar research? We will only use this information to contact you to invite you to participate in research, your details will not be passed on to any third party.

IF AGREE, SAY: We will only keep your contact details on record for 12 months. You may ask to have your details removed at any time over the next 12 months.

Agree to future research 1
Do not agree to future research 2

CLOSE: That's the end of the interview. Thank you for your time and responses. My name is (...) from Market Solutions, if you have any queries about this survey feel free to call this office during business hours – would you like the number? (Provide number if required – 03 9372 8400 and ask to speak to Anna Lethborg. If you have any general queries, you can call the Market Research Society's Survey Line on 1300 364 830.

RECORD INTERVIEWER'S ID

AUDITING (OFFICE ONLY)

Q39. Was the date and time of interview correct?

Yes 1
No 2

Q40. Was the interview recorded correctly?

Yes 1
No 2

Q41. Was the interviewer courteous?

Yes 1
No 2

Q42. AUDITOR'S ID

ENTER ID..........................